



Universit

R<sub>2</sub>FIRE@UMET-UMR/CNRS 8207





## Intumescence?







#### **MET** Intumescence for reaction and resistance to fire



 Applications: E&E, railway, cable & wire, aircraft cabin ...
Fire scenarios

(testing): UL94, glow wire, cone calorimeter, SBI, EN 50399 ...







Applications: building, offshore platform, aircraft ... Fire scenarios: UL1709, ISO834, ISO2685, jetfire ...









# Fire protection of CFRP





## Fire protection of composite: testing?

Fire resistance of fuselage and other parts of aircraft: full scale test or burnthrough test (jet fuel fire at ~186 kW/m<sup>2</sup>)



Post-crash fire simulation in full scale indoor at FAA

Burnthrough test (NexGen) Time consuming

- **Expensive**
- Slow development

Université





## Silicone-based intumescent coating



Intumescent paint on CFRP: silicone-based coating containing expandable graphite\* compared to low intumescing paint

Silicone formulation	F1 – High intumescing coating*	F2- Low intumescing coating
Silicone matrix	56%	56%
Expandable graphite	25%	-
Calcium carbonate	12%	37%
Clay	7%	7%



\*S. Bourbigot et al. "Protecting substrates against damages by fire", WO 2013/150121 - DOW CORNING Dow Corning, 2013





## Small scale test: Experimental set up

Infrared pyrometer and Th. stuck on composite (*T* = *f(t)*)



Sample + holder (*insulative ceramic*) Université de Lille

Burner (200  $kW/m^2$  at the surface –  $T_{flame} \sim 1100^{\circ}C$ )





### *Protection by intumescence: 1000μm*









## Protection by intumescence



Virgin composite



#### **CFRP-F1**







## Mechanism of protection



- Heat barrier: high expansion, low k (0.4 W/m.K@600°C)
- Structure: high cohesion thanks to chemical interactions (SiC, Ca-Si)



- Heat barrier: low expansion, low k (0.4 W/m.K@600°C)
- Structure: cohesive porous structure (highly polymerized Si, Ca-Si)





## Char characterization: X-ray tomography

**Internal intumescent structure : slices at different z of char residues after test** 

After intumescence

End





## Char characterization: fractal dimension



**Binarization:** extraction of the fractal dimension on a determined scale

#### After intumescence



# -200 0 200 400 600 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400

 $Df = 1.7 \text{ on } 100-2000 \ \mu m$ 

#### Df = 1.7 on 100-700 $\mu$ m

End



Df suggests an oriented materials (higher aspect ratio): correlation with char strength?





## ISO 2685 at reduced scale: dimensional analysis





## Fire scenario at the small scale: how to play?











Linear extrapolation: feeling of visuals!











## ISO 2685: goal and test



Pass/fail test for equipment located in fire zone (engine, auxiliary unit):

- Heat flux of 116 kW/m<sup>2</sup>
- T<sub>flame</sub> of 1100°C
- Withstanding of the component for 5 min ⇒ fire proof
- Withstanding of the component for 15 min ⇒ fire resistant









## ISO 2685: modeling and analysis





Université de Lille

Lower temperature field for the small- scale bench



No linear extrapolation but dimensional analysis to understand the differences



## Small scale test: Experimental set up



Safran Project: Design and improvement of a test bench to fire a reduced scale



Université de Lille

.



## Small scale test: intumescent CFRP







Efficiency of the fire protection from 250 μm via an intumescent behavior











## Summary and future work

 Similitude: scale reduction is not straight forward but correlation can be found (ISO2685, NexGen...)

erc

- Intumescence: appropriate way for reaction and resistance to fire
- Further models: development of model working in flaming conditions (in progress)
- Gas phase: full characterization of the gas phase taking into account soot (radiative properties)







## Acknowledgment

